

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,735	11/10/2003	Mark J. Hampden-Smith	41890-01672	4301
75	90 07/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Marsh Fischmann & Breyfogle LLP			KOSLOW, CAROL M	
Suite 411 3151 South Vaughn Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Aurora, CO 80014			1755	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,735	HAMPDEN-SMITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 119-127 is/are pending in the applicant 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 119-127 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/705,735

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant has complied with the conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 119(e).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On pages 65, 66, 85, 87 and 95, the variables "x and "y" are not defined. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 123 and 124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no teaching in the specification of annealing the phosphor in contact with sulfur or a sulfur-containing compound. Pages 62,68 and 96, which discuss annealing, are silent as to annealing in the presence of sulfur containing compounds. It is noted the specification teaches heating the intermediate compound in contact with sulfur or a sulfur-containing compound to form the sulfur containing phosphor.

Claim 124 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim is indefinite since it teaches annealing the sulfur-containing phosphor in contact with hydrogen sulfide at a temperature and time sufficient to form the phosphor. This step is confusing.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,735

Art Unit: 1755

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 119 and 122 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 21, 23-27 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,210,604. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed process of the patent suggests that claimed in this application.

Claims 119, 122 and 126-127 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 54, 61, 64-67, 76, 79, 83, 85, 86 and 89 of U.S. Patent No. 6,193,908. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed process of the patent suggests that claimed in this application.

Claims 119-122, 126 and 127 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 32-33, 37 and 43 of U.S. Patent No. 6,153,123. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed process of the patent suggests that claimed in this application. While the claims in the patent do not teach milling the resulting phosphor particles,

Application/Control Number: 10/705,735

Art Unit: 1755

one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to mill the resulting particles to break up any agglomerates and/or to reduce the particle size to a desired smaller size.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (571) 272-1362.

The fax number for all official communications is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk July 6, 2004 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700